

October 7th 2020 – Quantstamp Verified

StakeHound

This smart contract audit was prepared by Quantstamp, the protocol for securing smart contracts.



Executive Summary

Туре	Token contract	🔦 High Dick	The issue puts a large number of users'
Auditors	Fayçal Lalidji, Security Auditor Kevin Feng, Blockchain Researcher Luís Fernando Schultz Xavier da Silveira, Security Consultant	A HIGH RISK	sensitive information at risk, or is reasonably likely to lead to catastrophic impact for client's reputation or serious financial implications for client and users.
Timeline	2020-09-30 through 2020-10-02	^ Medium Risk	The issue puts a subset of users' sensitive information at risk, would be detrimental for the client's reputation if
EVM	Muir Glacier		
Languages	Solidity		exploited, or is reasonably likely to lead to moderate financial impact.
Methods	Architecture Review, Unit Testing, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification, Manual Review	✓ Low Risk	The risk is relatively small and could not be exploited on a recurring basis, or is a risk that the client has indicated is low-
Specification	litepaper		impact in view of the client's business circumstances.
Documentation Quality	Medium		
Test Quality	Medium	Informational	The issue does not post an immediate risk, but is relevant to security best practices or Defense in Depth
Source Code	Pepositoru		produces of Defence in Deptil.
		? Undetermined	The impact of the issue is uncertain.
	stakehound-core Of1d6e4		
Goals	Can an attacker steal users' funds?Is there any rounding or truncation errors?	Unresolved	Acknowledged the existence of the risk, and decided to accept it without engaging in special efforts to control it.
		Acknowledged	The issue remains in the code but is a
Total Issues	8 (4 Resolved)		result of an intentional business or design decision. As such, it is supposed to be addressed outside the
High Risk Issues	0 (0 Resolved)		programmatic means, such as: 1) comments, documentation, README.
Medium Risk Issues	0 (0 Resolved) 0 Unresolved		FAQ; 2) business processes; 3) analyses showing that the issue shall have no
Low Risk Issues	3 (1 Resolved) 4 Acknowledged 4 Resolved		negative consequences in practice (e.g., gas analysis, deployment settings).

Informational Risk Issues



8	(4 Resolved)	
0	(0 Resolved)	
0	(0 Resolved)	
3	(1 Resolved)	
5	(3 Resolved)	

d

Undetermined Risk Issues	0 (0 Resolved)	Resolved	Adjusted program implementation, requirements or constraints to eliminate the risk.
		Mitigated	Implemented actions to minimize the impact or likelihood of the risk.

<u>Summary of Findings</u>

StakeHound is token contract and a staking algorithm and as any ERC20 token, it is vulnerable to allowance double-spend exploit. The staking reward mechanism contain some medium flaws that can be addressed.

ID	Description	Severity	Status
QSP-1	Token Distribution	✓ Low	Acknowledged
QSP-2	Gas Consumption	∽ Low	Acknowledged
QSP-3	Execute Transactions	∽ Low	Fixed
QSP-4	Unlocked Pragma	O Informational	Fixed
QSP-5	Allowance Double-Spend Exploit	O Informational	Mitigated
QSP-6	DownstreamCaller Update	O Informational	Acknowledged
QSP-7	Privileged Roles and Ownership	O Informational	Acknowledged
QSP-8	Token Burning	O Informational	Fixed

<u>Quantstamp Audit Breakdown</u>

Quantstamp's objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specification and best practices.

Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Mishandled exceptions and call stack limits
- Unsafe external calls
- Integer overflow / underflow
- Number rounding errors
- Reentrancy and cross-function vulnerabilities
- Denial of service / logical oversights
- Access control
- Centralization of power
- Business logic contradicting the specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication
- Gas usage
- Arbitrary token minting

Methodology

The Quantstamp auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following
 - i. Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - ii. Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line in an attempt to identify potential vulnerabilities.
 - iii. Comparison to specification, which is the process of checking whether the code does what the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to Quantstamp describe.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes the following:
 - i. Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are actually covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - ii. Symbolic execution, which is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, and actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts.

Toolset

The notes below outline the setup and steps performed in the process of this audit.

Setup

Tool Setup:

• <u>Slither</u> v0.6.6

• <u>Mythril</u> v0.2.7

Steps taken to run the tools:

- 1. Installed the Slither tool: pip install slither-analyzer
- 2. Run Slither from the project directory: slither .s
- 3. Installed the Mythril tool from Pypi: pip3 install mythril
- 4. Ran the Mythril tool on each contract: myth -x path/to/contract

Findings

QSP-1 Token Distribution

Severity: Low Risk

Status: Acknowledged

File(s) affected: StakedToken

Description: The requirement that restricts the supplyController from minting more than _maxSupply is implicit (SafeMath function will throw inside StakedToken.mint). However, distributeTokens alter _sharesPerToken value, therefore cancelling the initial state _sharesPerToken = MAX_UINT256.div(maxSupply_), this will allow the supplyController to mint more tokens than _maxSupply or in the opposite case (contracted supply) restrict the total supply from reaching _maxSupply.

Recommendation: Consider removing the supply contraction mechanism and adding a requirement in mint and distributeTokens functions to check if the _totalSupply + amount or _totalSupply + supplyChange_ is lower than _maxSupply.

QSP-2 Gas Consumption

Severity: Low Risk

Status: Acknowledged

File(s) affected: DownstreamCaller, StakedToken

Description: Depending on DownstreamCaller.transactions array length, the gas consumed during a call to executeTransactions can be excessively high potentially throwing the transaction for out of gas or block gas limit. Since executeTransactions is used by StakedToken.distributeTokens function, a bad management of the transactions array can lead to a temporary denial of service for the token distribution logic.

Recommendation: Even if the elements in transactions array can be selectively deleted or disabled, Quantstamp recommend to run a gas consumption simulation before adding transactions to the DownstreamCaller contract.

QSP-3 Execute Transactions

Severity: Low Risk

Status: Fixed

File(s) affected: DownstreamCaller

Description: DownstreamCaller.executeTransactions is a public function. Depending on the listed transactions, allowing it to be called by a non-owner or by any other address than StakedToken can be a risk. No specifications were provided to correctly estimate the impact of this issue.

Recommendation: Only allow DownstreamCaller.executeTransactions to be called by StakedToken contract address.

QSP-4 Unlocked Pragma

Severity: Informational

Status: Fixed

File(s) affected: DownstreamCaller, StakedToken

Description: Every Solidity file specifies in the header a version number of the format pragma solidity (^)0.6.*. The caret (^) before the version number implies an unlocked pragma, meaning that the compiler will use the specified version and above, hence the term "unlocked."

Exploit Scenario: For consistency and to prevent unexpected behavior in the future, it is recommended to remove the caret to lock the file onto a specific Solidity version.

QSP-5 Allowance Double-Spend Exploit

Severity: Informational

Status: Mitigated

File(s) affected: StakedToken

Description: As it presently is constructed, the contract is vulnerable to the allowance double-spend exploit, as with other ERC20 tokens. An example of an exploit goes as follows:

- 1. Alice allows Bob to transfer N amount of Alice's tokens (N>0) by calling the approve() method on Token smart contract (passing Bob's address and N as method arguments)
- 2. After some time, Alice decides to change from N to M (M>0) the number of Alice's tokens Bob is allowed to transfer, so she calls the approve() method again, this time passing Bob's address and ^M as method arguments
- 3. Bob notices Alice's second transaction before it was mined and quickly sends another transaction that calls the transferFrom() method to transfer N Alice's tokens somewhere
- 4. If Bob's transaction will be executed before Alice's transaction, then Bob will successfully transfer N Alice's tokens and will gain an ability to transfer another M tokens
- Before Alice notices any irregularities, Bob calls transferFrom() method again, this time to transfer M Alice's tokens. The exploit (as described above) is mitigated 5. through use of functions that increase/decrease the allowance relative to its current value, such as increaseAllowance and decreaseAllowance.

Recommendation: Pending community agreement on an ERC standard that would protect against this exploit, we recommend that developers of applications dependent on approve() / transferFrom() should keep in mind that they have to set allowance to 0 first and verify if it was used before setting the new value. Teams who decide to wait for such a standard should make these recommendations to app developers who work with their token contract.

QSP-6 DownstreamCaller Update

Severity: Informational

Status: Acknowledged

File(s) affected: StakedToken

Description: DownstreamCaller is deployed when StakedToken is initialized. However, the contract can be modified by the owner using setDownstreamCaller, this does not guarantee that the already listed transactions will be migrated to the new contract.

Recommendation: Depending on the importance of the listed transactions, the migration process can be implemented automatically to avoid any possible issue.

QSP-7 Privileged Roles and Ownership

Severity: Informational

Status: Acknowledged

File(s) affected: StakedToken

Description: - transfer and transferFrom can be paused by the owner.

• Users can be denied access by the owner to transfer, transferFrom, approve, increaseAllowance, decreaseAllowance and mint, the blacklisting is selective and can be applied to any address.

• mintfunction allows the Supply controller can change the supply of token arbitrarily without using distributeTokens

Recommendation: The privileged roles need to be made clear to the users, especially depending on the level of privilege the contract allows to the owner.

QSP-8 Token Burning

Severity: Informational

Status: Fixed

File(s) affected: StakedToken

Description: Only the supply controller is allowed to burn tokens through StakedToken.burn, however, msg.sender is used to set the account from where the tokens are burned. We cannot determine if this is an error or part of the design, the code documentation does not specify the addresses allowed to use the described functionality.

Recommendation: We recommend to clearly specify the intended behavior or modify the function implementation to meet the specification.

Automated Analyses

Slither

• StakedToken.initialize(string, string, uint8, uint256, uint256) performs a multiplication on the result of a division, this issue is classified as false positive since it is an intended behavior.

Mythril

Mythril reported several issues, however, after the manual review all issues were classified as false positive.

Adherence to Specification

• The developer code documentation of 'distributeTokens' is incorrect as the function can both increase or decrease the supply of tokens if positiveparameter is falsed.

Adherence to Best Practices

• Implement input validation in DownstreamCaller.addTransaction, destination should be different than address(0x0) and data length should be higher than zero.

• To manage an added transaction in DownstreamCaller contract the transaction index is used. However, addTransaction does not return the index or emit an event that allows to read the transaction index. Either use the index as a return value or implement an event to keep track of the {Transaction, index} pair.

• StakedToken.approve, StakedToken.increaseAllowance and StakedToken.decreaseAllowance functions allow the spender address to be address(0x0). In this case the allocation can not be spent since it is allowed to address(0x0). However, the functions should throw with a correct error message to inform the user about the input error.

• account input in StakedToken.mint function is not checked to be different than address(0x0).

• supplyController_ input in StakedToken.setSupplyController is not checked to be different than address(0x0).

Test Results

Test Suite Results

StakedToken Initialization \checkmark should be set up properly (226ms) ✓ should reject ETH transfers Upgrades ✓ should be upgradeable (878ms) setSupplyController ✓ should update supply controller (263ms) \checkmark should not be callable by others (38ms) setName ✓ should update name (254ms) \checkmark should not be callable by others (40ms) setSymbol ✓ should update symbol (233ms) \checkmark should not be callable by others (45ms) Transfers ✓ should transfer tokens (183ms) \checkmark should fail to transfer too many tokens (84ms) Minting \checkmark should mint new tokens (130ms) \checkmark should not be callable by others (39ms) Burning \checkmark should burn tokens (111ms) \checkmark should fail to burn more than in account \checkmark should not be callable by others (47ms) Reward distribution ✓ should distribute rewards (235ms) \checkmark should contract the supply (231ms) Increased supply by 1 to 1000000000000000000001, actually increased by 1 Doubling supply 0 Doubling supply 1 Doubling supply 2 Doubling supply 3 Doubling supply 4 Increased supply by 1 to 320000000000000000000063, actually increased by 1 Doubling supply 5 Doubling supply 6 Increased supply by 1 to 128000000000000000000255, actually increased by 1 Doubling supply 7 Doubling supply 8 Doubling supply 9 Doubling supply 10 Doubling supply 11 Doubling supply 12 Doubling supply 13 Doubling supply 14 Increased supply by 1 to 3276800000000000000065535, actually increased by 1 Doubling supply 15 Increased supply by 1 to 65536000000000000000131071, actually increased by 1 Doubling supply 16 Increased supply by 1 to 131072000000000000000262143, actually increased by 1 Doubling supply 17 Doubling supply 18 Doubling supply 19 \checkmark should maintain supply precision for 20 doublings (4211ms) \checkmark should not be callable by others Allowances \checkmark should transfer if allowance is big enough (241ms) \checkmark should fail to transfer if the allowance is too small (127ms) External calls \checkmark should register a downstream contract and call it on distribution (239ms) ✓ should remove a downstream transaction (318ms) \checkmark should disable a downstream transaction (309ms) \checkmark should change the downstream caller contract (592ms) \checkmark should not be callable by others (38ms) Pausable \checkmark should fail token transfers when paused (85ms) \checkmark should fail to transferFrom when paused (206ms) ✓ should unpause (361ms) \checkmark should not be callable by others (62ms) Blacklisting \checkmark should fail token transfers when sender is blacklisted (77ms) \checkmark should fail token transfers when recipient is blacklisted (80ms) \checkmark should fail to transferFrom when sender is blacklisted (197ms) \checkmark should fail to transferFrom when recipient is blacklisted (196ms) \checkmark should fail to set allowance when sender is blacklisted (91ms) \checkmark should fail to increase allowance when sender is blacklisted (92ms) \checkmark should fail to decrease allowance when sender is blacklisted (87ms)

✓ should fail to set allowance when spender is blacklisted (85ms)
✓ should fail to increase allowance when spender is blacklisted (91ms)
✓ should fail to decrease allowance when spender is blacklisted (85ms)
✓ should disable blacklist (442ms)
✓ should not be callable by others

43 passing (27s)

Code Coverage

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines
contracts/	86.61	72.73	91.89	86.96
DownstreamCaller.sol	82.35	60	100	83.33
StakedToken.sol	87.37	76.47	90.32	87.63
All files	86.61	72.73	91.89	86.96

Appendix

File Signatures

The following are the SHA-256 hashes of the reviewed files. A file with a different SHA-256 hash has been modified, intentionally or otherwise, after the security review. You are cautioned that a different SHA-256 hash could be (but is not necessarily) an indication of a changed condition or potential vulnerability that was not within the scope of the review.

Contracts

c773287965ad4e612efdc08b6cbe17973aa23b81ed3255557a71dfa4a12d64b2 ./contracts/DownstreamCaller.sol 8ff22272f3f9466ed336e827ae69829bed8cea0093921db8a21a71caaa5d80f0 ./contracts/StakedToken.sol

Tests

909107da61056e680cf842c916dcf9e89d2a8d229c7938cc33c7131de1c2814c ./test/StakedToken.behavior.ts

7a015ec4eef320407aa5bfc2326d26ac8ccf7802b818fb43e1d7dbdb6ceaf322 ./test/StakedToken.ts

Changelog

- 2020-10-02 Initial report
- 2020-10-07 re-audit and report update

About Quantstamp

Quantstamp is a Y Combinator-backed company that helps to secure blockchain platforms at scale using computer-aided reasoning tools, with a mission to help boost the adoption of this exponentially growing technology.

With over 1000 Google scholar citations and numerous published papers, Quantstamp's team has decades of combined experience in formal verification, static analysis, and software verification. Quantstamp has also developed a protocol to help smart contract developers and projects worldwide to perform cost-effective smart contract security scans.

To date, Quantstamp has protected \$5B in digital asset risk from hackers and assisted dozens of blockchain projects globally through its white glove security assessment services. As an evangelist of the blockchain ecosystem, Quantstamp assists core infrastructure projects and leading community initiatives such as the Ethereum Community Fund to expedite the adoption of blockchain technology.

Quantstamp's collaborations with leading academic institutions such as the National University of Singapore and MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) reflect our commitment to research, development, and enabling world-class blockchain security.

Timeliness of content

The content contained in the report is current as of the date appearing on the report and is subject to change without notice, unless indicated otherwise by Quantstamp; however, Quantstamp does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any report you access using the internet or other means, and assumes no obligation to update any information following publication.

Notice of confidentiality

This report, including the content, data, and underlying methodologies, are subject to the confidentiality and feedback provisions in your agreement with Quantstamp. These materials are not to be disclosed, extracted, copied, or distributed except to the extent expressly authorized by Quantstamp.

Links to other websites

You may, through hypertext or other computer links, gain access to web sites operated by persons other than Quantstamp, Inc. (Quantstamp). Such hyperlinks are provided for your reference and convenience only, and are the exclusive responsibility of such web sites' owners. You agree that Quantstamp are not responsible for the content or operation of such web sites, and that Quantstamp shall have no liability to you or any other person or entity for the use of third-party web sites. Except as described below, a hyperlink from this web site to another web site does not imply or mean that Quantstamp endorses the content on that web site or the operator or operations of that site. You are solely responsible for determining the extent to which you may use any content at any other web sites to which you link from the report. Quantstamp assumes no responsibility for the use of third-party software on the website and shall have no liability whatsoever to any person or entity for the accuracy or completeness of any outcome generated by such software.

Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Blockchain technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution



StakeHound Audit